

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,248	03/02/2004	Kiyoshi Yoneda	492322016700	9891
25227 7:	590 10/02/2006		EXAM	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			TRAN, CHUC	
SUITE 300	DOULLVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	22102		2821	

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Anntinont(n)			
Office Action Summan		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/790,248	YONEDA, KIYOSHI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chuc D. Tran	2821			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dolors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)☐ ☐ 3)☐ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Jer</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4 5) □ (0 6) ☑ (0 7) ☑ (0 8) □ (0 Applicatio	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notice (3) 🔯 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 06/16/05,04/12/06.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,248

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claim 2. Therefore, the "region no doped impurity" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,248 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

- It is not clear to understand what the "no doped impurities" is disposed between the gate electrode and the P type impurity region. It appears from the illustration that the low concentration layer boron formed between the electrode gate and the source of the driving transistor. This description deems to conform with the depiction show in Fig. 2. Applicant is encouraged to implement this type of language in the interest of improving it's clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada (USP. 6,781,155).

Regarding claim 1, Yamada disclose an electroluminescent display device in Fig. 1, comprising:

- a plurality of pixels, wherein each pixel comprising a pixel selecting transistor (130); an electroluminescent element (160); and a driving transistor (140) to drive a corresponding electroluminescent element according to a signal supplied through a corresponding pixel

Application/Control Number: 10/790,248

Art Unit: 2821

selecting transistor (Col. 1, Line 40), wherein the driving transistor comprising a channel of a P-type (Abstract), and being of a lightly doped-drain type (Col. 6, Line 17).

Regarding claim 2, Yamada disclose in Fig. 8 that a region no doped impurities (43c) is disposed between the gate electrode (41) and the P type impurity region (Col 5, Line 47)

Regarding claim 5, Yamada disclose in Fig. 5 that a glass substrate (10) (Col. 5, line 57).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art fails or fairly suggest a high concentration region containing a P-type impurity with a concentration of 1 x 1020/cc or more and being in contact with an electrode, and a low concentration region containing a P-type impurity with a concentration of 1 x 1018/cc or less and disposed between the high concentration region and the channel of the P type in claims 3 and 4.

Citation of relevant prior art

Prior art Yamauchi et al (USP. 6,879,309) disclose electronic device and electronic apparatus.

Prior art Koyama (US 2003/0117083) disclose EL display device and electronic device.

Prior art Koyama (USP. 6,501,227) disclose EL device.

Prior art Kuwabara et al (US 2003/0141504) disclose semiconductor device and manufacturing method thereof.

Art Unit: 2821

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuc D. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1829. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC September 25, 2006

THO PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER